



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/565,410	02/17/2006	Josef Aspelmayr	S3-03P07676	2591	
24131 Lerner Gre	7590 03/02/2007 ENBERG STEMER LLP		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2480)	IP, SHIK LUEN PAUL			
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE .		
3 MO	ONTHS	03/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>			
Office Action Comme		10/565,410	ASPELMAYR ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul Ip	2837				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this comments (135 U.S.C. § 133)	·			
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	=,						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	,		·			
·		n					
	Claim(s) 10-16 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 10-16 is/are rejected.	•					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ur election requirement					
, —		or closuon requirement.					
	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2006</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F					
	rr No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/9/06</u> .	.6) Other:	aton rippiloation				

Application/Control Number: 10/565,410

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Page 2

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2/10/2006 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takahashi (4,086,471).

With respect to claim 10, Takahashi shows in figure 1 a reference source (ENGINE REFERENCE PULSE), program-controlled calculation means (1, 2, 3) and a pulse generation circuit (4). The entered parameters are the ENGINE PARAMETER inputs to the OPTIMUM IGNITION ANGLE DECISION DEVICE 1. It is inherent that the ENGINE PARAMETER inputs are value pair with size and type as recited in the claim. Figures 2A and 2B show that the definition of a pulse is different during different processing cycles with respect to different ENGINE PARAMETER inputs during

Art Unit: 2837

different processing cycle of the engine. Takahashi discloses at column 1 line 67 to column 2 line 3 that the ignition angle determination device stored the results of an engine test in the form of a numerical data table or numerical formular. It is inherent that the parameter data table stored the size and type values of the engine as recited in the claim.

With respect to claims 11-16, Takahashi shows in figures 2A and 2B the pulses comprising two parameters T_{PR} and 90°. It is inherent that the pulses can represent different values such as two angular values or two time values as recited in the claims.

Citation of Pertinent References

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited on the PTO form 892 are pulse generating circuits for controlling vehicle engines.

Communication Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Ip whose telephone number is (571)-272-1941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan, can be reached on(571)-272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Internet correspondence **MUST** be provided with a prior written authorization by applicant in the application file record giving the Office authorization to communicate

Art Unit: 2837

with applicant vie e-mail. Without a written authorization by applicant in place, the USPTO will not respond via Internet e-mail to any Internet correspondence which contains information subject to the confidentially requirement as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 122.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2837

2/26/2007